

Gravity from Lorentz Symmetry Violation

Robertus Potting

CENTRA and Physics Department, FCT, University of the Algarve, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal

Abstract. In general relativity, the masslessness of gravitons can be traced to symmetry under diffeomorphisms. Here we consider another possibility, whereby the masslessness arises from spontaneous violation of Lorentz symmetry.

Keywords: Lorentz symmetry, spontaneous symmetry breaking, gravitation

PACS: 04.20.Cv, 11.30.Cp, 11.15.Ex, 95.30.Sf

In the standard approach to general relativity, the Einstein equations are derived using geometrical notions of Riemannian space-time such as curvature. Another approach exists [1], in which the starting point is the linearized free equation of motion for a spin-2 particle (the graviton)

$$R_{\mu\nu}^L \equiv K_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad (1)$$

which can be obtained from a free lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^0$. From Newton's law we know gravity is coupled to matter. The way to take this into account consistently is to add a term proportional to the matter energy-momentum tensor. In the case of free gravitons described by (1) we have no matter, and the equation is consistent as it stands. Nevertheless, gravitons represent energy-momentum, and thus should be expected to contribute as well to the right-hand-side of (1). The resulting, modified equation of motion can be obtained from a cubic lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^1$. In turn, the cubic term in this lagrangian gives another contribution to the energy-momentum tensor, which should again be added to the right-hand-side of (1). This leads to a quartic lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^2$. This process continues indefinitely and in the limit one recovers an equation that can be summarized by the full Einstein equation in free space

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (2)$$

A convenient one-step algorithm that leads from (1) to (2) has been derived by Deser [2].

In this derivation, the reason for starting with a symmetric field $h^{\mu\nu}$ is easily understood: it is needed in the action to couple to the symmetric energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$. What is the reason for the masslessness of gravitons?

The usual argument that is given is one of symmetry. For instance, in the electroweak model, the photon is massless because of an unbroken $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. In QCD, the gluons are massless because of the $SU(3)$ gauge symmetry. Similarly, in general relativity the masslessness of the graviton is taken to be a consequence of diffeomorphism symmetry.

However, an alternative reason exists as to why a particle might be massless, which has to do with the breaking of a symmetry, rather than its presence. The Nambu-

Goldstone theorem states that, with some mild assumptions, there must be a massless particle whenever a continuous global symmetry of an action isn't a symmetry of the vacuum [3]. This result is readily understood by considering an action with a scalar potential V which has its minimum for nonzero field values. Considering a vacuum in which the field assumes such a constant value, a symmetry of the theory shifts the vacuum to another, equivalent, minimum. Thus V has at least one flat direction; excitations around the vacuum in that direction correspond to massless particles, the Nambu-Goldstone modes.

A well-known example of the realization of this mechanism is the (approximate) masslessness of the pion due to the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry in the sigma model. A more recent example involves the so-called “bumblebee” model [4, 5] with Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_B = -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} - \lambda(B_\mu B^\mu \pm b^2) - B_\mu J^\mu. \quad (3)$$

Here $B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu$, λ is a Lagrange multiplier field and J^μ is an external current. The equation of motion of the latter forces the vector B_μ to assume a nonzero vacuum value b_μ , breaking spontaneously the Lorentz symmetry. Thus we expect massless Goldstone modes in the flat direction of the potential, which can be obtained by Lorentz transformations of the vacuum value:

$$\delta B^\mu \equiv A^\mu = B^\mu - b^\mu \approx \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} b_\nu. \quad (4)$$

Here the $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}$ generate a Lorentz transformation. Expressing (3) in terms of A_μ yields

$$\mathcal{L}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{NG} \approx -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - A_\mu J^\mu - b_\mu J^\mu \quad (5)$$

subject to the constraint $b_\mu A^\mu = 0$. Thus we obtain electrodynamics in the axial gauge! Note that, in this model, the masslessness of the photon arises, not by the presence of a $U(1)$ gauge symmetry (the Lagrangian (3) is not gauge invariant), but by the spontaneous breaking of Lorentz symmetry.

Instead of the Lagrange multiplier term in (3) one can take a smooth scalar potential with a nonzero minimum. In that case we have, apart from the Nambu-Goldstone fluctuations in the flat direction, radial fluctuations corresponding to a massive particle.

As it turns out, spontaneous breaking of Lorentz symmetry can be employed as well to obtain a massless graviton [6]. To this effect, we consider the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_C = \frac{1}{2}C^{\mu\nu}K_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}C^{\alpha\beta} - V(C^{\mu\nu}). \quad (6)$$

Here, $C^{\mu\nu}$ is a symmetric two-tensor, $K_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the usual quadratic kinetic operator for a massless spin-2 field, and $V(C^{\mu\nu})$ is a scalar potential constructed from $C^{\mu\nu}$ and $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. This theory is invariant under local Lorentz transformations and under diffeomorphisms. The potential V ensures $C^{\mu\nu}$ takes some vacuum value $c^{\mu\nu}$, which we will assume to be nonzero. This spontaneously breaks local Lorentz and diffeomorphism invariances. The massless Nambu-Goldstone fields are the excitations $\delta C_{\mu\nu} = C_{\mu\nu} - c_{\mu\nu}$ about this solution, generated by the broken symmetries and maintaining the potential minimum:

$$\delta C_{\mu\nu} = C_{\mu\nu} - c_{\mu\nu} \approx \varepsilon_\mu^\alpha c_{\alpha\nu} + \varepsilon_\nu^\alpha c_{\alpha\mu} \equiv M_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \quad (7)$$

with $M_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\mu\alpha}c_{\nu\beta} + \eta_{\nu\alpha}c_{\mu\beta} - \eta_{\mu\beta}c_{\nu\alpha} - \eta_{\nu\beta}c_{\mu\alpha})$. For generic $c_{\mu\nu}$, these fluctuations satisfy the four (linearized) constraints

$$\delta C^\mu{}_\nu (c^m)^\nu{}_\mu = 0 \quad (8)$$

with $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

The equations of motion that follow from varying the lagrangian density (6) with respect to the independent degrees of freedom $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$ are $M^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}K_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\delta C^{\alpha\beta} = 0$, that can be solved using Fourier decomposition. The solutions obey the massless wave equation

$$\partial^\lambda \partial_\lambda \delta C_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (9)$$

subject to the Lorenz condition $\partial^\mu \delta C_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The Lorenz condition fixes four of the initial six independent degrees of freedom carried by the Lorentz generators, leaving two massless propagating degrees of freedom.

It is not difficult to show that this theory matches the usual description of a massless graviton field $h^{\mu\nu}$ in Minkowski spacetime. Consider the Lagrange density for a free massless graviton $h^{\mu\nu}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_h = \frac{1}{2} h^{\mu\nu} K_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (10)$$

Initially $h^{\mu\nu}$ has ten degrees of freedom. The Lagrangian (10) is invariant under local diffeomorphisms

$$\delta h_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \xi_\nu + \partial_\nu \xi_\mu. \quad (11)$$

Consequently, we can choose four gauge fixing conditions. Rather than adopting the usual transverse-traceless gauge, we pick a different gauge that yields a direct match with the new theory. For generic $c_{\mu\nu}$, we choose the conditions

$$h^\mu{}_\nu (c^m)^\nu{}_\mu = 0 \quad (12)$$

with $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$. From the equations of motion $K_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ and the gauge conditions one finds that the solutions satisfy the usual wave equation for a massless field $\partial^\lambda \partial_\lambda h_{\mu\nu} = 0$, as well as the Lorenz condition $\partial^\mu h_{\mu\nu} = 0$. This leaves $10 - 4 - 4 = 2$ propagating degrees of freedom, and an explicit match with the theory of the cardinal field. Once more, we see that while the symmetry structure of the two theories is radically different, the equations are in direct correspondence at low energy.

The full nonlinear Einstein equations can be obtained by insisting on a consistent coupling to the energy-momentum tensor, by using a version of Deser's one-step procedure referred to above.

While reproducing the Einstein equations at lowest order, the new theory differs from general relativity in various ways.

In the pure-gravity sector, the new theory has subleading corrections to the Einstein equations in vacuum. They are of higher order in the Riemann tensor, and thus very small for nearly Minkowski spacetimes (and thus in laboratory and solar-system tests). However, they may lead to significant deviations from general relativity in extreme environments such as black holes, or the early Universe.

There are effects in the matter sector because of couplings of the type $c_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ involving the matter energy-momentum tensor. A framework for the comprehensive treatment of such effects exists that maintains standards of consistency such as stability and microcausality [7]. Numerous experimental searches looking for Lorentz-violating signals within this framework are currently under way.

Most interestingly, maybe, are structural differences related to excitations of the cardinal field in the non-flat directions. These will play a role at very high energies (presumably close to the Planck scale) and temperatures. Also, at high temperatures the potential V acquires corrections that restore local Lorentz symmetry by shifting the minimum of the effective potential to zero cardinal field value. These effects will have profound implications for the very early Universe.

It is clear that the quantum properties of the new model will differ significantly from those of general relativity. In the case of the bumblebee model it has been shown that nonpolynomial and superficially unrenormalizable potentials V can become renormalizable and stable when quantum corrections are included [8], a result that can be extended to the current gravity theory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This talk is based on work done in collaboration with V. Alan Kostelecký. R.P. acknowledges financial support from the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (Portugal), and wishes to thank the Physics Department of Indiana University for hospitality.

REFERENCES

1. R. Kraichnan, MIT thesis, 1947; *Phys. Rev.*, **98**, 1118 (1955); A. Papapetrou, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad.*, **52A**, 11 (1948); S. N. Gupta, *Proc. Phys. Soc. London*, **A65**, 608 (1952); R. P. Feynman, *Chapel Hill Conference*, 1956.
2. S. Deser, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, **1**, 9 (1970).
3. Y. Nambu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **4**, 380 (1960); J. Goldstone, *Nuov. Cim.*, **19**, 154 (1961); J. Goldstone, A. Salam, and S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.*, **127**, 965 (1962).
4. V. A. Kostelecký and S. Samuel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **63**, 224 (1989); *Phys. Rev. D*, **40**, 1886 (1989).
5. R. Bluhm and V. A. Kostelecký, *Phys. Rev. D*, **71**, 065008 (2004).
6. V. A. Kostelecký and R. Potting, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, **37**, 1675 (2005) [*Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, **14**, 2341 (2005)]; V. A. Kostelecký and R. Potting, arXiv:0901.0662 [gr-qc].
7. D. Colladay and V. A. Kostelecký, *Phys. Rev. D*, **58**, 116002 (1998); V. A. Kostelecký, *Phys. Rev. D*, **69**, 105009 (2004).
8. B. Altschul and V. A. Kostelecký, *Phys. Lett. B*, **628**, 106 (2005).